

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

1089.0310001	CONFIRMATION N
FYAM	
EXAMINER NGUYEN, JUDY	
	ART UNIT 2861

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		¥	RF
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	7
Advisory Action	10/004,816	MURAI, MASAMI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Judy Nguyen	2861	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ars on the cov r sheet with the	corr spondenc add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 03 October 2003 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of App Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this appli (1) a timely filed amendment wh eal (with appeal fee); or (3) a tim	cation. A proper relich places the appli	ply to a cation in
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Acceptate, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The chave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exte 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorten (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of SFILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. Insign and the corresponding amount of the distallatory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION.  136(a) and the appropriate ex the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>03 October 2003</u> . 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 C	Appellant's Brief must be filed v FR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal	vithin the period set of the appeal.	forth in
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furt	her consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note			
<ul><li>(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canc	eling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clai	ms.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejo			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wou canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	ld be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely file	d amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does N	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLEL	Y to issues which we	ere newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims	nt(s) a)∏ will not be entered or would be rejected is provided be	b)⊡ will be entered Iow or appended.	and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	S:		
Claim(s) allowed:			•
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) a	pproved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem			4
10. Other:		- gudy/	Mangu
		V YUNK	V NGUYEN

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) **PRIMARY EXAMINER** 



Continuation of 2. NOTE: the amended claims (see the amendment for detail) change the scope of the claims, which raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.